

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

IMPLEMENT EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT-BASED PRACTICES TO SCREEN, TREAT, AND REFER PERSONS AT RISK FOR SUICIDE

SELECTIVE GOAL 5

VA



U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs

Veterans Health Administration
Office of Mental Health and Suicide Prevention

Table of Contents

Goal Overview.....	3
Literature Review	4
Introduction.....	4
Rationale	4
Emergency Department-Based Screening Interventions	4
Feasibility of Implementing Emergency Department Screening.....	5
Conclusion	6
References.....	6
Action Steps.....	8
Metrics	9
Implementation Tips	10
Implementation Worksheets.....	13
Vision Worksheet	14
The Ideal Vision.....	14
Vision Examples	14
Brainstorm.....	14
Chosen Vision	14
Subcommittee Role Specification Worksheet.....	15
Subcommittee Members.....	15
Roles and Responsibilities	16
Stakeholders Worksheet	17
Instructions for Completing the Worksheet.....	17
Communication Plan Worksheet	18
Instructions for Completing the Worksheet.....	18
Identifying an Approach Worksheet.....	19
Describe the Literature	19
Identify a Subject Matter Expert.....	19
Tools and Resources.....	19
Identify the Implementation Sites	19
Action Steps and Plan-Do-Study-Act Worksheets.....	20
Instructions for Completing the Worksheet.....	20
Plan-Do-Study-Act Worksheet Example.....	21
Plan-Do-Study-Act Worksheet.....	22
Data Collection Worksheet.....	23
Instructions for Completing the Worksheet.....	23
After Data Collection.....	23
Data Collection Worksheet Example.....	24
Data Collection Worksheet.....	25

GOAL OVERVIEW

Implement emergency department-based practices to screen, treat, and refer persons at risk for suicide

LITERATURE REVIEW

INTRODUCTION

Current research on suicide prevention demonstrates the importance of implementing a universal screening protocol to identify persons at risk for suicide,¹ as well as to put a brief intervention² and referral to a treatment³ process in place for individuals that screen positive for suicide risk. This review describes the need for, and the basis of, implementing screening, brief intervention, and referral to treatment interventions in the emergency department (ED) and delineates several evidence-based practices and protocols to implement this type of intervention.

RATIONALE

The ED is a critical touchpoint to identify individuals at risk for suicide. Many individuals who attempt or die by suicide will have visited an ED within the year prior to the attempt [1, 2]. Self-harm behavior, suicidal behavior, and suicide attempts are highly predictive of both future attempts and risk of death by suicide, making it essential for healthcare providers to better identify individuals at risk [3]. Self-harm injuries and suicide attempts often place individuals in the ED, and the rate of ED visits for self-injuries and suicide attempts is increasing [4]. However, the majority of patients who are treated for intentional self-harm in the ED do not receive a suicide risk screen or psychiatric assessment, with less than half of the patients who are discharged receiving a mental health assessment and only half of the patients receiving a follow-up assessment within thirty days of discharge [5]. It is, therefore, crucial to implement in the ED both a universal suicide risk screening protocol and a protocol to refer those who screen positive for suicide risk to a mental health specialist.

EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT-BASED SCREENING INTERVENTIONS

EDs can implement processes that identify individuals at risk for suicide, enable healthcare providers to speak with the individual at risk about their risk level and services they can receive, and directly connect the individual to a mental health provider and other relevant resources for assessment and further services. The Screen, Brief Intervention, and Referral to Treatment (SBIRT) model has been shown to be effective in multiple healthcare settings to identify and refer individuals with a Substance Use Disorder, and this model has been effective specifically in ED settings [6, 7]. Models that are very similar to SBIRT can be implemented in EDs to screen, intervene with, and refer individuals with suicide risk to treatment [8].

The first step in an ED-based intervention program is screening. Screening is a process by which individuals are assessed for their suicide risk and then triaged into appropriate next steps depending on the results. The Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS) is a fast, effective, and accessible screening tool, supported by abundant literature on its accuracy and feasibility across diverse settings and populations [9-12]. The C-SSRS separates screening into two domains: suicidal ideation and suicidal behavior. The ideation domain measures the severity of ideation (thoughts, intent, and plans) and the intensity of ideation (frequency, duration, and reason). The behavior

¹ Screening is the application of an evidence-based screening tool that can validly and reliably assess a given individual's suicide risk and risk for self-injury behaviors (e.g., the Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale).

² Brief interventions are intended to reduce the suicide risk of a patient who is screened to have an elevated to severe suicide risk level. This reduction is accomplished either via the application of an intervention (e.g., safety planning, caring letters, etc.), or via the application of motivational interviewing (MI) principles to enhance the patient's motivation to access specialty care.

³ Referral to treatment is the direct and active linkage of patients to behavioral health treatment, typically via a warm handoff, who are screened to be at significant suicide risk.

domain measures the extent of the individual's suicidal behavior (non-suicidal self-injurious behavior, preparatory behavior, aborted attempt, interrupted attempt, or actual attempt) as well as the lethality of an actual attempt [10]. Based on each domain score, and the total score, the need for a further assessment and referral to treatment is determined.

Brief interventions are intended to reduce the suicide risk of a patient who is screened to have an elevated to severe suicide risk level. This reduction is accomplished either via the application of an intervention (e.g., safety planning, caring letters, etc.) or via the application of motivational interviewing (MI) principles to enhance the patient's motivation to access specialty care [13]. Interventions will be described in the following section. MI is a directive, client-centered counseling style for producing behavior change by helping clients to acknowledge and choose to alter maladaptive behavior patterns [14]. The essence of the MI process is to reflect a client's behavior back to them without judgment, and help them come to realize and resolve discrepancies between their current self and their ideal self [14]. As a result, the brief intervention, even though it might not result in a direct connection to treatment services in the immediate period of when the individual is in the ED, can independently reduce future suicide risk and suicide attempts.

Referral to treatment is the direct and active linkage of a patient to behavioral health treatment, typically via a warm handoff,⁴ who are screened to be at significant suicide risk. Patients provided with a direct connection to care and treatment are more likely to engage with treatment and remain in treatment longer [15, 16]. Treatment attendance and retention can be further improved through follow-up contact [17]. Follow-up care also directly reduces suicidal risk in the critical period immediately following an intentional self-injury or suicide attempt [18].

FEASIBILITY OF IMPLEMENTING EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT SCREENING

Several studies have validated best practices for suicide risk screening and referral to treatment in the ED and have demonstrated feasibility of this protocol design. There have been multiple effective ED-based suicide prevention initiatives recently implemented, and many of these initiatives share similar components and implementation of the process.

The ED-SAFE study examined the feasibility of universal suicide risk screening in the ED by comparing treatment as usual versus universal screening only, and screening plus a brief intervention. The intervention component consisted of a secondary suicide risk screening by ED physicians following a positive initial screen, development of a safety plan, and follow-up phone calls to the participant, with the optional involvement of a significant other, for 52 weeks following the ED visit. The study demonstrated a 30% reduction in total suicide attempts in the group receiving universal screening plus a brief intervention compared to the group receiving treatment as usual [8].

The SAFE-VET study implemented a brief ED-based intervention for Veterans at risk of suicide, including safety planning, lethal means restriction counseling, teaching brief problem-solving and coping skills, enhancing social support, and enhancing motivation for further treatment. The intervention also included weekly follow-up calls initiated within 72 hours of discharge from the ED. Compared to the usual treatment group, those receiving the brief intervention had 45% fewer suicidal behaviors and twice the odds of engaging in mental health treatment in the six-months following the ED visit [19].

The Collaborative Assessment and Management of Suicidality (CAMS) framework is a therapeutic approach to risk assessment and treatment planning and has demonstrated significant, long-term effects on the reduction of suicidal ideation and an increase in hope and reasons for living. The CAMS framework uses a patient-centered, collaborative care model to increase a patient's motivation to be actively involved in their mental healthcare [20].

⁴ A warm handoff is a transfer of care between two members of the health care team, where the handoff occurs in front of the patient and their family.

Studies have shown that CAMS can help patients resolve their suicidality more quickly and increase treatment retention [21, 22]. Moreover, patient satisfaction with CAMS was high, and providers were easily trained in CAMS and found it feasible to implement into their current protocols. CAMS has the potential to be modified for an ED setting or have its components integrated into an ED-based program.

The Safety Planning Intervention (SPI) can be an ED-based intervention which includes: providing patient education that works; recognizing warning signs of an impending suicidal crisis; employing effective internal coping strategies; utilizing social contacts and social settings as a means of distraction from suicidal thoughts; utilizing family members or friends to help resolve the crisis; contacting mental health professionals or agencies; and restricting access to lethal means. When follow up contact was also applied, the intervention reduced suicidal behavior by 45% and approximately doubled the rate of mental health treatment attendance compared to treatment as usual [13]. Again, components of this intervention can be modified for or integrated into an ED-based program.

CONCLUSION

This literature review illustrates the necessity for implementing an intervention to screen, intervene with, and refer persons at risk for suicide in the ED, provides evidence-based practices for implementing this type of intervention, and demonstrates the feasibility and effectiveness of potential components to be included in the intervention. Importantly, the literature shows that universal screening alone is not sufficient to reduce suicidality, but for maximum benefit should be combined with a brief intervention involving safety planning, MI, and follow-up contact, in addition to referral to a mental health specialist for further assessment and long-term treatment. The following sections outline steps to implement ED-based screening, intervention, and referral to treatment for individuals at risk for suicide within VISN 23.

REFERENCES

1. Gairin, I., House, A., Owens, D., *Attendance at the accident and emergency department in the year before suicide: Retrospective study*. The British Journal of Psychiatry 2003. 183(2): p. 28-33.
2. Da Cruz, D., Pearson, A., Saini, P., Miles, C., While, D., Swinson, N., Williams, A., Shaw, J., Appleby, L., & Kapur, N. , *Emergency department contact prior to suicide in mental health patients*. Emergency Medicine Journal, 2011. 28(6): p. 467-471.
3. Chan, M.K.Y., Bhatti, H., Meader, N., & Stockton, S. , *Predicting suicide following self-harm: systematic review of risk factors and risk scales*. The British Journal of Psychiatry 2016. 209(4): p. 277-283.
4. Ting, S.A., Sullivan, A. F., Boudreaux, E., Miller, I., & Camargo, C. A. , *Trends in US emergency department visits for attempted suicide and self-inflicted injury, 1993–2008*. General Hospital Psychiatry, 2012. 34(5): p. 557-565.
5. Olfson, M., Marcus, S. C., & Bridge, J. A. , *Emergency Treatment of Deliberate Self-harm*. Archives of General Psychiatry, 2012. 69(1): p. 80-88.
6. Babor, T.F., F. Del Boca, and J.W. Bray, *Screening, Brief Intervention and Referral to Treatment: implications of SAMHSA's SBIRT initiative for substance abuse policy and practice*. Addiction, 2017. 112(S2): p. 110-117.
7. Bernstein, E., et al., *An evidence based alcohol screening, brief intervention and referral to treatment (SBIRT) curriculum for emergency department (ED) providers improves skills and utilization*. Substance abuse, 2007. 28(4): p. 79-92.
8. Miller, I.W., et al., *Suicide Prevention in an Emergency Department Population: The ED-SAFE Study*. JAMA Psychiatry, 2017. 74(6): p. 563-570.
9. Katz, I., et al., *Use of the Columbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS) in a large sample of Veterans receiving mental health services in the Veterans Health Administration*. Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior, 2019. 0(0).

10. Posner, K., Brown, G. K., Stanley, B., Brent, D. A., et. al. , *The Columbia–Suicide Severity Rating Scale: Initial Validity and Internal Consistency Findings From Three Multisite Studies With Adolescents and Adults*. The American Journal of Psychiatry, 2011. 168(12): p. 1266-1277.
11. Mundt, J.C., Greist, J. H., Jefferson, J. W., Federico, M., Mann, J., Posner, K. , *Prediction of Suicidal Behavior in Clinical Research by Lifetime Suicidal Ideation and Behavior Ascertained by the Electronic Columbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale*. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 2013. 74(9): p. 887-893.
12. Gipson, P.Y., et al., *Columbia-suicide severity rating scale: predictive validity with adolescent psychiatric emergency patients*. Pediatric emergency care, 2015. 31(2): p. 88-94.
13. Stanley, B., et al., *Comparison of the Safety Planning Intervention With Follow-up vs Usual Care of Suicidal Patients Treated in the Emergency Department Association of Safety Planning Intervention With Subsequent Suicidal Behavior Among ER-Treated Suicidal Patients Association of Safety Planning Intervention With Subsequent Suicidal Behavior Among ER-Treated Suicidal Patients*. JAMA Psychiatry, 2018. 75(9): p. 894-900.
14. Rollnick, S. and W.R. Miller, *What is motivational interviewing?* Behavioural and cognitive Psychotherapy, 1995. 23(4): p. 325-334.
15. Healthcare; T.W.P.G.o.I.B., et al., *JOINT PRINCIPLES: INTEGRATING BEHAVIORAL HEALTH CARE INTO THE PATIENT-CENTERED MEDICAL HOME*. The Annals of Family Medicine, 2014. 12(2): p. 183-185.
16. Pomerantz, A.S., Kearney, L. K., Wray, L. O., Post, E. P., & McCarthy, J. F. , *Mental health services in the medical home in the department of veterans affairs: Factors for successful integration*. Psychological Services, 2014. 11(3): p. 243-253.
17. Stanley, B., et al., *Brief Intervention and Follow-Up for Suicidal Patients With Repeat Emergency Department Visits Enhances Treatment Engagement*. American Journal of Public Health, 2015. 105(8): p. 1570-1572.
18. Vaiva, G., et al., *Effect of telephone contact on further suicide attempts in patients discharged from an emergency department: randomised controlled study*. BMJ, 2006. 332(7552): p. 1241-1245.
19. Knox, K.L., et al., *An Emergency Department-Based Brief Intervention for Veterans at Risk for Suicide (SAFE VET)*. American Journal of Public Health, 2012. 102(S1): p. S33-S37.
20. Jobes, D.A., R. Lento, and K. Brazaitis, *An Evidence-Based Clinical Approach to Suicide Prevention in the Department of Defense: The Collaborative Assessment and Management of Suicidality (CAMS)*. Military Psychology (Taylor & Francis Ltd), 2012. 24(6): p. 604-623.
21. Jobes, D.A., et al., *The Collaborative Assessment and Management of Suicidality versus Treatment as Usual: A Retrospective Study with Suicidal Outpatients. Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior*, 2005. 35(5): p. 483-497.
22. Comtois, K.A., et al., *Collaborative assessment and management of suicidality (CAMS): feasibility trial for next-day appointment services*. Depression and Anxiety, 2011. 28(11): p. 963-972.

ACTION STEPS

1. By Month 2 of implementation, develop talking points for communicating to emergency departments (EDs) the importance of screening, treating, and referring persons at risk for suicide.
2. By Month 3 of implementation, develop a compendium of local, state, and federal resources that can be used to guide EDs on how to implement screening, intervention, and referral services in the ED setting.
3. By Month 3 of implementation, develop template program guidelines for EDs and their staff to use to pledge that their organizations will implement screening, intervention, and referral protocols for persons at risk for suicide.
4. By Month 6 of implementation, develop training materials to train EDs on screening, intervention, and referral processes for individuals at risk of suicide, including addressing lethal means safety and safety planning.
5. By Month 6 of implementation, develop personalized protocols to guide EDs on how to implement screening, intervention, and referral processes in the ED, including addressing lethal means safety and safety planning.
6. By Month 6 of implementation, identify as many EDs as possible and obtain pledges.
7. By Month 12 of implementation, provide the following for EDs that pledge to implement evidence-based screening, intervention, and referral processes:
 - Training for organizational leadership and an identified site Champion;
 - Personalized implementation protocols;
 - Ancillary resources (including screening instruments, pocket cards, etc.);
 - Staff training (via virtual webinars when necessary);
 - Technical assistance (as funding permits); and
 - Monitored quality improvement and progress assistance.
8. By Month 18 of implementation (Year 1) and subsequent years, achieve penetration rates as defined in Tables 1 and 2.

METRICS

1. 100% of steps in the implementation protocol are implemented as planned.
2. 100% of participants achieve sufficient knowledge of how to implement screening, intervention, and referral to treatment processes, including safety planning and follow-up.
3. 80% of ED organizations continue implementing screening, intervention, and referral processes after the initial training and implementation period.
4. 100% of patients admitted to the ED are screened for suicide risk.
5. 100% of patients identified as at risk for suicide and needing intervention services (e.g., safety planning, etc.) receive the appropriate services prior to discharge.
6. 100% of patients identified as at risk for suicide and needing a referral to specialized services obtain a warm handoff to services.
7. 80% of patients identified as needing suicide risk services or treatment obtain the appropriate level of treatment.
8. Achieve the penetration benchmarks for Year 1, Year 2, Year 3, and Year 4 based on Tables 1 and 2 below.

Table 1. Penetration Rates for Rural Areas Years 1–4

# of Eligible Sites in Catchment Area	Target % of Intervention Sites Per Year (Years 1–4)			
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4
1	100%	100%	100%	100%
2	50%	100%	100%	100%
3	33%	67%	100%	100%
4	25%	50%	75%	100%
5	20%	40%	60%	80%
6	16%	33%	50%	66%

Table 2. Penetration Rates for Urban Areas Years 1–4

# of Eligible Sites in Catchment Area	Target % of Intervention Sites Per Year			
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4
5–7	30%/40%	50%/60%	70%/80%	90%/100%
8–10	20%/30%	40%/50%	60%/70%	80%/90%
10+	10%	20%	30%	> 30%

IMPLEMENTATION TIPS⁵

Associated Action Step Component	Implementation Tip	PERU Support Provided
Systems Transformation Framework	Throughout the entire planning, implementation, and evaluation process, it is essential that you use all of the Systems Transformation Framework principles to support the optimal performance of your coalitions and implementation sites.	PERU has developed applications and resources as well as checklists that you can use on an ongoing basis to ensure that your coalitions and implementation sites are performing optimally.
Talking Points	When developing talking points or intervention/program marketing materials to help recruit participants in your intervention, ensure that the language and content of the materials are appropriate to the audiences and stakeholder groups involved. Talking points and materials aimed at healthcare providers will be different than talking points and materials aimed at community members, even if they are for the same intervention.	PERU will help review and craft talking points or marketing materials for interventions and programs.
Resource Development	When developing resources, access reputable literature and experts for information. It is also beneficial to ask stakeholders involved in the intervention's implementation sphere ⁶ what would be useful. This way, you can provide exactly what your collaborators need.	PERU will help to develop resources and can connect OESs with experts for developing materials.
Program Development	When developing program guidelines for intervention participants, tailor the guidelines to individual organizations. Guidelines should be in line with the training materials and implementation protocol expectations outlined for the intervention.	PERU will help review and craft program guidelines and pledges.
Training Development	Ensure that trainings sufficiently cover the knowledge points and skills that intervention participants need to know. Begin by outlining knowledge and skill objectives. You may also need to develop separate trainings for different stakeholders involved.	PERU will help to identify knowledge and skill targets as well as develop trainings and identify appropriate trainers.
Training Evaluation	When applying training, evaluate changes in knowledge pre- and post-training using a valid instrument.	PERU will assist in developing knowledge evaluations for intervention trainings.
Training Evaluation	When applying training that involves skill development, evaluate skill acquisition using a proficiency checklist. Separate proficiency checklists should be developed for separate skills within an intervention.	PERU will assist in developing proficiency checklists for intervention trainings.

⁵ Some tips may not be relevant to every intervention.

⁶ Implementation sphere is defined as the community and all of the relevant stakeholders/participants that are targeted and involved in some way (either passively or actively) in conducting the implementation.

Associated Action Step Component	Implementation Tip	PERU Support Provided
Implementation Fidelity	<p>All protocols should incorporate the key components of the intervention needed for fidelity with the literature review. Key components can include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Specific instruments/documents used for the intervention; Information on who implements each step of the intervention and when the step is completed (e.g., who completes suicide risk screening and when? What happens when a person at risk is identified?); Steps for knowing how the step of the protocol has been implemented (i.e., feedback loops); and Steps for how implementation will be tracked (i.e., how progress will be recorded). 	PERU will help review and develop implementation plans for each intervention.
Program Development	All protocols should be developed using the <i>Lean Rules in Use</i> .	PERU will provide assistance in ensuring that all protocols follow the <i>Rules in Use</i> .
Stakeholder Identification	When identifying participating organizations and sites, keep in mind that you want to begin with the site that has the greatest organizational health. Organizations with better organizational health will have better implementation success. You can then leverage this success in recruiting other sites. Once you have identified participating organizations, you will want to conduct an organizational health assessment to identify sites with strong organizational health.	PERU can help you with conducting the type of organizational health assessment that best suits your community and implementation sphere.
Communication Plan	<p>Prior to beginning implementation, develop a communication plan that describes communication from:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Coalition to coalition leadership; Coalition leadership to OES; Implementation site leadership to coalition; OES to facility/VISN leadership; and Any other stakeholders to any other stakeholders necessary. Communication plans should describe who will be responsible for the communication of updates, questions, and concerns; how communications will be transmitted; and how frequently communications should occur. 	PERU can help to design communication plans with the coalition and intervention team that best address the target audiences.
Motivational Interviewing	When implementing interventions, use motivational interviewing when working with stakeholders in your implementation sphere to navigate challenges and barriers.	PERU can help OESs (and coalitions) learn how to apply POLAR*S, a scheme for motivational interviewing, to manage stakeholder relationships for different purposes.

Associated Action Step Component	Implementation Tip	PERU Support Provided
Evaluation Plan	When planning implementation, develop an evaluation plan to evaluate your intervention's progress and effectiveness. It is essential to use appropriate performance measures and benchmarks to effectively guide implementation.	PERU will work with you to strategize ways of collecting relevant performance measures and reporting measures and benchmarks to relevant stakeholders in your implementation sphere.
Real-Time Evaluation	When evaluating your interventions, performance measurement and benchmark reports should be issued in as close to real time as possible and used to address barriers and challenges.	PERU will help you evaluate these reports to identify strategies for improving implementation performance.

IMPLEMENTATION WORKSHEETS

Implement emergency department-based practices to screen, treat, and refer persons at risk for suicide

VISION WORKSHEET

The following will review how to create a Vision for your subcommittee and goal.

THE IDEAL VISION

Your Vision....

- Should capture the ideal future you want to achieve;
- It should be measurable so that you can collect data to see how far or close you are to achieving the Vision;
- It should be succinct and easy to remember;
- It should be something your whole subcommittee believes in; and
- Once agreed upon, the Vision should be communicated continuously on all program resources and presentations and during meetings.

VISION EXAMPLES

- Reduce overdoses to zero in Minnesota.
- Reduce suicides to zero in Loess Hills.
- Improve the health, safety, and well-being of all the individuals within the communities we serve.

BRAINSTORM

As a subcommittee, brainstorm some ideas for your Vision. What are some key words or ideas you want your Vision to cover? How will you make your Vision memorable?

Write (or type) these ideas in the space below.

CHOSEN VISION

Write (or type) your final crafted Vision below.

SUBCOMMITTEE ROLE SPECIFICATION WORKSHEET

Each subcommittee should have at least two types of members: one (1) or two (2) Leads and General Members. You also want to ensure your subcommittee has members represented from stakeholder groups that will help you accomplish your action items and goals. Use the following worksheet to identify subcommittee members and roles and responsibilities.

SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS

Use the following table to list your subcommittee members, affiliation, stakeholder group, and contact information. Use the next worksheet, Stakeholders Worksheet, to learn more about different stakeholder groups. You can continuously add to this list as your subcommittee expands or changes.

Name	Affiliation (Organization Name)	Stakeholder Group	Contact Information (Email/Phone)



ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Use the following table to define roles and responsibilities for subcommittee members. Identify two Leads and General Members. You are also welcome to identify your own roles (e.g., secretary, data manager, etc.) if desired in the blank rows provided. Be sure to define responsibilities for these other roles.

For subcommittee leads, an ideal lead will have the social capital and leverage to connect your subcommittee to needed resources and stakeholders. Ideal leads could be local government members, leaders/members of healthcare provider organizations and associations, and/or individuals with connections to training and resource expertise.

Name(s)	Role	Responsibilities
	Lead (Primary)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Report out during subcommittee meetings. • Keep subcommittee focused during meetings. • Complete assigned action items. • Follow up with subcommittee members to ensure action items are completed between meetings.
	Lead (Secondary)	In absence of primary lead: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Report out during subcommittee meetings. • Keep subcommittee focused during meetings. • Complete assigned action items. • Follow up with subcommittee members to ensure action items are completed between meetings.
	General Members	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have sufficient authority so they can complete assigned action items (e.g., send emails, set up training events, etc.). • Have appropriate content knowledge linked to the interventions or the institutions/organizations in which the interventions will be implemented. • Have evaluation expertise (if needed).
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • • • • •
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • • • • •

STAKEHOLDERS WORKSHEET

The following worksheet will help your subcommittee identify and determine which stakeholder groups you want to involve in the implementation of your goal. These stakeholder groups, organizations, and individuals might be implementation sites where you want to implement the intervention/goal OR they might be organizations/persons who can provide resources and information that will help you accomplish your action items and goals.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE WORKSHEET

Stakeholder Group: This column lists the different stakeholder groups you may want to involve in the implementation of your goal. There are blank spaces if there are stakeholder groups not listed that you want to include.

Needed for Implementation?: Write or type 'yes' in the column and row if you want to involve the stakeholder group. Leave blank if you do not want to involve them.

Catchment Area Organization or Individual: List specific organizations or individuals within your catchment area that are part of these stakeholder groups. You might have multiple organizations/individuals for a group.

Reason for Involvement: Describe the reason for involving this specific stakeholder group as it pertains to the goal.

Stakeholder Group	Needed for Implementation?	Catchment Area Organization or Individual	Reason for Involvement
Veterans and Veteran Serving Organizations			
Law Enforcement			
Emergency Medical Services			
Suicide Survivors (e.g., direct, friends, family)			
Media Organizations			
Physical Health Providers			
Mental and Behavioral Health Providers			
Faith-Based Organizations			
Suicide Prevention Experts			
Public Figures			
Local Institutions and Employers			
Suicide Prevention Organizations			
Education Organizations (e.g., schools, universities)			
Program Evaluators (if not reflected by above)			

COMMUNICATION PLAN WORKSHEET

Once you have identified the stakeholders and individuals who will be involved (or be points of contact) in the implementation of your goal and intervention, you will want to develop a communication plan between your various partners. This communication plan should describe the frequency of communication and the format of the communication.

Use the following table to develop your communication plan.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE WORKSHEET

Purpose of Communication: Write or type what the communication will correspond (e.g., weekly update, etc.)

Sender: Write or type who will send the communication. This can be an organization but ideally a specified person will be identified to help maintain accountability.

Receiver: Write or type who will receive the communication. This can be an organization, but ideally a specified person will be identified to help maintain clarity.

Frequency: Write or type how frequently the communication will be sent (e.g., daily, weekly, monthly).

Format: Write or type how the communication will take place (e.g., conference call, in-person meeting, email).

Purpose of Communication	Sender	Receiver	Frequency	Format

IDENTIFYING AN APPROACH WORKSHEET

The literature review at the beginning of this implementation plan describes a number of different approaches or previous studies relevant to the goal. The goal of this worksheet is to determine the specific approach or method for accomplishing the goal. Determining the approach may involve relying on the expertise of your Outreach and Education Specialist and other subject matter experts.

DESCRIBE THE LITERATURE

Review the literature review and if needed, review the specific references and articles used in the literature review. Summarize all of the different approaches taken that are reviewed in the literature in the space below. Describe how these approaches are related. Your Outreach and Education Specialist can help you develop this review.

IDENTIFY A SUBJECT MATTER EXPERT

Identify a subject matter expert who can provide insight on the best approach for implementing the intervention or accomplishing the goal. Your Outreach and Education Specialist can help you identify a subject matter expert.

TOOLS AND RESOURCES

From the literature review or other sources, identify the evidence-based tools and resources you want to use. This might be a specific training program or a specific screening instrument or treatment method you want to implement. Your Outreach and Education Specialist can help you identify the evidence-based tools and resources you might need for this intervention.

IDENTIFY THE IMPLEMENTATION SITES

From the literature reviews, identify who is the target audience for your intervention (who will be applying the intervention directly with patients or community members). This may include community members, local healthcare providers, local businesses and organizations, firearm retailers, or others that are specific to your communities. Your Outreach and Education Specialist can help you determine your target audience.

ACTION STEPS AND PLAN-DO-STUDY-ACT WORKSHEETS

Now that you have laid the groundwork for operating your subcommittee, identified key stakeholders, and formulated a planned approach, you can now begin completing the Action Steps to achieve your goal. Think of Action Steps as the objectives for your goal. For the most part, you will complete these in sequential order or concurrently (a few Action Steps implemented at the same time). Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) Cycle Worksheets will help you lay out and plan the specifics of your Action Step(s) as well as help you document your progress toward completing your Action Step(s). The following will present instructions for how to use the worksheets.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE WORKSHEET

An example PDSA worksheet has been provided below, followed by a template worksheet.

Each meeting, you will be provided a worksheet to record your action items your subcommittee plans to complete between meetings. The Outreach and Education Specialist will collect the worksheet at the end of each meeting, and then scan and email out the worksheet with other meeting minutes. At the following meeting, you will be given back the worksheet to document updates. Then, you will be provided a subsequent worksheet to repeat the process. If you are working on multiple Action Steps at a time, ensure you have the right number of worksheets.

Date/Next Meeting Date: Write the date of the current meeting. Write the date of when you will be meeting next.

Action Step (Circle One): Circle the number for the Action Step you are working on based on the order of the Action Steps list in this implementation plan.

Action Step: Write down when you want the Action Step completed based on the time points listed in the Action Steps section of this implementation plan.

PLAN

Tasks: In this column, list the tasks your subcommittee decides on during the meeting and plans to complete by the following meeting. They should be SMART: specific, measurable, achievable, relevant, and timely. Make sure your tasks are feasible to complete and will substantially make progress toward completing your Action Step.

Person Responsible: List who will be responsible for completing the Task.

Process Indicators: List out how you will know the Task was completed successfully. Process indicators are the direct products or deliverables that result from the Task. You should have process indicators for each Task.

Outcomes: List out the outcomes you hope to achieve with this Task. This might be a long-term outcome that is not directly related to the Task. Reference the metrics list with your goal's implementation plan to see which metric/outcome closely aligns with your Task.

Due Date: List when you want the Task completed. The due date can be the subsequent coalition meeting date.

DO

Now that you have your plan, use the worksheet to remind yourselves of what to accomplish between coalition meetings. The Subcommittee Lead should follow up with those listed as "Person Responsible" to ensure individuals are completing their assigned Tasks.

STUDY

Completed/Barriers/Successes: Review Tasks at the next meeting. Identify whether they were completed (yes/no). Discuss and record any barriers or challenges that arose in completing the Task.

ACT

Based on your review of your progress, barriers or challenges, and successes, grab a new worksheet and list out your NEXT Tasks. If you experienced challenges, your next Tasks might be actions your subcommittee wants to take to remedy the challenge/barrier. If the previous Task was successful, you will want to list the next Task that will further you in accomplishing your Action Step.



PLAN-DO-STUDY-ACT WORKSHEET EXAMPLE

Goal: Implement Emergency Department-Based Practices to Screen, Treat, and Refer Persons at Risk for Suicide

Date: 01/14/2020 **Next Meeting Date:** 02/11/2020

Action Step (Circle One): 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

Action Step End: Month 2

Tasks	Person Responsible	Success Looks Like:		Due Date	Completed/Barriers/Successes (next meeting)
		Process Indicators	Outcomes		
Outline talking points.	Dave Smith	Outlined talking points	Obtain buy in from organizations to participate in intervention.	02/11	Yes. Dave would like input from coalition leadership on outline.
Identify list of audience members for talking points.	Emily Burton	List of recognized organizations and contact information.	Obtain buy in from organizations to participate in intervention.	02/11	Yes. Emily feels the list is overwhelming though and would like to discuss narrowing down the number of organizations.



PLAN-DO-STUDY-ACT WORKSHEET

Goal: Implement Emergency Department-Based Practices to Screen, Treat, and Refer Persons at Risk for Suicide

Date: **Next Meeting Date:**

Action Step (Circle One): 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

Action Step End Date:

Tasks	Person Responsible	Success Looks Like:		Due Date	Completed/Barriers/Successes (next meeting)
		Process Indicators	Outcomes		

DATA COLLECTION WORKSHEET

Once you begin implementing your intervention and goal, you will want to collect data to help track your progress and effectiveness. The following worksheet will help you plan how you will collect the data needed to formulate the metrics listed for this implementation plan and goal. The following will describe the instructions for completing the Data Collection Worksheet.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE WORKSHEET

Metric: This column lists the metric from the metrics list featured at the beginning of this implementation plan. No action is required for this column.

Instrument Method: In this column, list the instrument or method you will use to collect the data needed for the metric. Your Outreach and Education Specialist can help you design a data collection instrument.

Collected From: In this column, list the stakeholders or individuals that you will be collecting the data from. In some cases, this may be your own subcommittee/coalition. Other times, it will be data you want to collect from implementation partners or directly from patients or community members.

Who Will Collect: In this column, list the individuals responsible for collecting the data. This may be a member of your subcommittee or an implementation partner who will then share the collected data with you.

Frequency of Collection: In this column, list the frequency in which this data needs to be collected. Time periods might be daily, weekly, monthly, quarterly, annually, etc. Time periods might also be dictated by certain events that occur. For example, data collection might always occur after a particular meeting or training event.

AFTER DATA COLLECTION

As you collect your data, share this data with your coalition leadership and Outreach and Education Specialist. Your Outreach and Education Specialist can assist in showing your subcommittee how you can use the data to address problems and barriers and measure success.



DATA COLLECTION WORKSHEET EXAMPLE

Metric	Instrument/Method	Collected From	Who Will Collect	Frequency of Collection
100% of steps in the implementation protocol are implemented as planned.	Protocol Tracking Sheet	Coalition Subcommittee and Partners	Emily Burton	Monthly
100% of participants achieve sufficient knowledge of how to implement screening, intervention, and referral processes after the initial training and implementation period.	Pre- and Post- Training Knowledge Tests Skill Proficiency Checklist	Training Participants	Training Coordinator	At each training
80% of ED organizations continue implementing screening, intervention, and referral processes after the initial training and implementation period.				
100% of patients admitted to the ED are screened for suicide risk.				
100% of patients identified as at risk for suicide and needing intervention services (e.g., safety planning, etc.) receive the appropriate services prior to discharge.				
100% of patients identified as at risk for suicide and needing a referral to specialized services obtain a warm handoff to services.				
80% of patients identified as needing suicide risk services or treatment obtain the appropriate level of treatment.				
Achieve the penetration benchmarks for Year 1, Year 2, Year 3, and Year 4.				



DATA COLLECTION WORKSHEET

Metric	Instrument/Method	Collected From	Who Will Collect	Frequency of Collection
100% of steps in the implementation protocol are implemented as planned.				
100% of participants achieve sufficient knowledge of how to implement screening, intervention, and referral processes after the initial training and implementation period.				
80% of ED organizations continue implementing screening, intervention, and referral processes after the initial training and implementation period.				
100% of patients admitted to the ED are screened for suicide risk.				
100% of patients identified as at risk for suicide and needing intervention services (e.g., safety planning, etc.) receive the appropriate services prior to discharge.				
100% of patients identified as at risk for suicide and needing a referral to specialized services obtain a warm handoff to services.				
80% of patients identified as needing suicide risk services or treatment obtain the appropriate level of treatment.				
Achieve the penetration benchmarks for Year 1, Year 2, Year 3, and Year 4.				